Chapter 4. Training Models Kinds of Gradient Descent

CSC357 Advanced Topics—Machine Learning

24 January 2020

• Batch Gradient Descent

- need to compute gradient of cost function w/ respect to each model parameter θ_i
- calculate how much the function will change w/ small change in θ_j
- (a partial derivative)
- like asking "What is the slope of the mountain under my feet if I face east?" and then asking the same question facing north (and so on for all other dimensions, if you can imagine a universe with more than three dimensions)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} MSE(\theta = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (\theta^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

- can compute all partial derivatives at once
- gradient vector $(\nabla_{\theta} MSE(\theta))$ contains all the partial derivatives of the cost function (one for each model parameter).

$$\nabla_{\theta} MSE(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} MSE(\theta) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} MSE(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n} MSE(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{2}{m} \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{X}\theta - y)$$

- formula involves calculations over full training set X, at each Gradient Descent step!
- Batch Gradient Descent uses whole batch of training data at every step (
- terribly slow on very large training sets
- scales well with the number of features
- training a Linear Regression model w/ hundreds of thousands of features much faster using Gradient Descent than using the Normal Equation or SVD decomposition.
- get gradient vector—it points uphill
- to go downhill, go in opposite directionjust go in opposite direction
- subtract $\nabla_{\theta} MSE(\theta)$ from to get that direction
- multiply gradient vector by learning rate η to determine size of downhill step
- bb Equation 4-7. Gradient Descent step

$$\theta^{\text{nextstep}} = \theta \nabla_{\theta} MSE(\theta)$$

– a quick implementation of this algorithm...

```
\begin{array}{l} eta = 0.1 & \# \ learning \ rate \\ n\_iterations = 1000 \\ m = 100 \end{array}
```

theta = np.random.randn(2,1) # random initialization

```
for iteration in range(n_iterations): gradients = 2/m * X_b.T.dot(X_b.dot(theta) - y) theta = theta - eta * gradients
```

- not too hard! look at resulting θ ...

```
theta array ([[4.21509616], [2.77011339]])
```

- exactly what Normal Equation found!
- Gradient Descent worked perfectly
- what if we had used different learning rate η ?
- Figure 4-8 shows first 10 steps of Gradient Descent using 3 different learning rates
 - * on left, the learning rate is too low

- * (algorithm eventually reaches solution—after long time)
- * in middle, learning rate looks pretty good
- * (in just a few iterations, has already converged to solution)
- * on right, the learning rate is too high
- * (algorithm diverges—jumps all over the place moves further away from the solution at every step)
- to find good learning rate, could use grid search
- may want to limit number of iterations so that grid search can eliminate models that take too long to converge
- how to set number of iterations?
 - * if too low, will still be far away from optimal solution when algorithm stops
 - * if too high, waste time while model parameters ceasing changing
 - * simple solution—set a very large number of iterations but interrupt the algorithm when gradient vector becomes tiny
 - * that is, when norm becomes < tiny number ϵ (the tolerance)
 - * small norm means Gradient Descent has (almost) reached the minimum

Convergence Rate

- * when cost function is convex and slope does not change abruptly
- * (as is the case for the MSE cost function)
- * Batch Gradient Descent with fixed learning rate will eventually converge to the optimal solution
- * although might have to wait—can take $O(1/\epsilon$ iterations to reach optimum within range of ϵ
- * (depending on shape of the cost function)
- * divide tolerance by 10 to more precise solution, then algorithm might run about 10 times longer

• Stochastic Gradient Descent

- main problem w/ Batch Gradient Descent \to uses whole training set to compute gradients at every step
- makes it very slow when training set is large
- at opposite extreme, Stochastic Gradient Descent picks random instance in training set at every step and computes gradients based only on that single instance
- much faster! (little data to manipulate at every iteration)
- possible to train on huge training sets
- (only one instance needs to be in memory at each iteration)

- (Stochastic GD can be implemented as out-of-core algorithm)
- stochastic (random) \rightarrow algorithm << regular than Batch Gradient Descent
- (instead of gently decreasing until it reaches the minimum)
- cost function will bounce up and down, decreasing only on average
- gets very close to minimum, but continues to bounce around, never settles down
- final parameter values are good, but not optimal
- very irregular cost function can help algorithm jump out of local minima
- Stochastic Gradient Descent has better chance of finding global minimum than Batch Gradient Descent
- randomness is good to escape from local optima
- randomness bad because it means algorithm never settles at minimum
- one solution—gradually reduce learning rate
- steps start large (helps make quick progress, escape local minima)
- steps then get smaller and smaller, allows algorithm to settle at global minimum
- process like simulated annealing
- annealing is term from metallurgy—slow cooling of molten metal
- function that determines learning rate at each iteration is the learning schedule
- $\,-\,$ if learning rate reduced too quickly, might get stuck in local minimum
- if learning rate reduced too slowly, might jump around minimum, end with suboptimal solution (if training halted too early)
- here is a simple learning schedule...

```
n_epochs = 50
t0, t1 = 5, 50  # learning schedule hyperparameters

def learning_schedule(t):
    return t0 / (t + t1)

theta = np.random.randn(2,1)  # random initialization

for epoch in range(n_epochs):
    for i in range(m):
        random_index = np.random.randint(m)
        xi = X_b[random_index:random_index+1]
        yi = y[random_index:random_index+1]
```

```
gradients = 2 * xi.T.dot(xi.dot(theta) - yi)
eta = learning_schedule(epoch * m + i)
theta = theta - eta * gradients
```

- (by convention) iterate by rounds of m iterations
- each round is called an epoch
- while Batch Gradient Descent code iterated 1,000 times through whole training set...
- ...this code goes through training set only 50 times, reaches pretty good solution

```
theta array ([[4.21076011], [2.74856079]])
```

- Figure 4-10 shows first 20 steps of training (notice how irregular the steps are)
- since instances are picked randomly
 - * some instances might picked several times per epoch
 - * while others may not be picked at all
- to be sure that algorithm goes through every instance at each epoch
 - * shuffle the training set
 - * (be sure to shuffle input features and the labels jointly)
 - * go through instance by instance, then shuffle again
 - * approach generally converges more slowly
- w/ Stochastic Gradient Descent, training instances must be independent and identically distributed (IID)
- ...to ensure that parameters get pulled toward global optimum, on average
- (simple way to ensure this: shuffle instances during training)
- (for example, pick each instance randomly, or shuffle training set at beginning of each epoch)
- without shuffling instances (e.g.,if instances are sorted by label)—
 then SGD will start by optimizing for one label, then next, and so
 on—will not settle close to the global minimum
- use Scikit-Learn's SGDRegressor class to perform Linear Regression using Stochastic GD
- (defaults to optimizing squared error cost function)
- following code runs for maximum 1,000 epochs or until loss drops by less than 0.001 during one epoch
- $(max_iter=1000, tol=1e-3)$

- starts with a learning rate of 0.1 ($\eta_0 = 0.1$), using default learning schedule (different from preceding one).
- does not use any regularization (penalty=None; more details on this shortly):

from sklearn.linear_model import SGDRegressor

```
\begin{array}{lll} sgd\_reg &= SGDRegressor(max\_iter=1000, tol=1e-3, \\ & penalty=None, eta0=0.1) \\ sgd\_reg.fit(X, y.ravel()) \end{array}
```

- solution quite close to one returned by Normal Equation

```
sgd_reg.intercept_, sgd_reg.coef_(array([4.24365286]), array([2.8250878]))
```

• Mini-batch Gradient Descent

- simple to understand once you know Batch and Stochastic Gradient Descent
- computes the gradients on small random sets of instances called minibatches
- (Batch GD uses full set, Stochastic GD uses just one instance)
- can get performance boost from hardware optimization of matrix operations (esp. w/ when GPUs)
- progress in parameter space less erratic than with Stochastic GD
- (especially with fairly large mini-batches)
- Mini-batch GD will walk around closer to minimum than Stochastic Gd
- but may struggle to escape from local minima
- (problems other than Linear Regression can have local minima)
- figure in book shows paths taken by 3 Gradient Descent algorithms in parameter space during training.
- all end up near minimum
- Batch GDs path actually stops at the minimum
- both Stochastic GD and Mini-batch GD continue to walk around
- (don't forget Batch GD takes lots of time at each step)
- (also, Stochastic GD and Mini-batch GD would also reach minimum, given good learning schedule)
- compare algorithms were discussed for Linear Regression
- (recall m is number of training instances, n is number of features)
- little difference—all algorithms produce similar models, make predictions in same way