

1. (4 pts) a. First introduce two appropriate predicates, $W(x)$ and $J(x)$, then add quantifier(s) as needed to express the given statements. Assume the domain for W and J is all people.

$W(x)$: x is a web developer

$J(x)$: x knows Javascript

- a. Tina is a web developer.

$W(\text{Tina})$

- b. Not everyone knows Javascript.

$\neg \forall x (J(x))$

- c. All web developers know Javascript.

$\forall x (W(x) \rightarrow J(x))$

2. (4 pts) Introduce predicates and use quantifiers to express the following statements in predicate logic:

Predicate and domain:

$F(x, y)$: x can fool y domain: people

- a. Everybody can fool Todd.

$\forall x (F(x, \text{Todd}))$

- b. Nobody can fool everybody.

$\neg \exists x \forall y (F(x, y))$

- c. There is someone whom Lydia cannot fool.

$\exists x \neg F(\text{Lydia}, x)$

3. (4 pts.) Give an argument using rules of inference to show that the conclusion follows from the hypothesis. (Please introduce the obvious predicate(s); the domain will be students in this class).

A student in this class has not read the book. Everyone in this class passed the first quiz. Therefore, someone who passed the first quiz did not read the book.

1. $\exists x (\neg B(x))$ premise
2. $\forall x (Q(x))$ premise.
3. $\neg B(c)$ for some c 1, EI.
4. $Q(c)$ 2, UI.
5. $\neg B(c) \wedge Q(c)$ 3, 4, conj.
6. $\exists x (\neg B(x) \wedge Q(x))$ 5, EG.

$B(x)$: x has read the book.

$Q(x)$: x passed the first quiz