

## Lecture 2: Image Processing \& Antialiasing

## Representing lines: Point sampling, single pixel

- Midpoint algorithm: in each column, pick the pixel with the closest center to the line
- A form of point sampling: sample the line at each of the integer $X$ values
- Pick a single pixel to represent the line's intensity, full on or full off
- Doubling resolution in $x$ and $y$ only lessens the problem, but costs 4 times memory, bandwidth, and scan conversion time!


Line approximation using point sampling


Approximating same line at $2 x$ the resolution

## Jaggies \& Aliasing

- "Jaggies" an informal name for artifacts from poorly representing continuous geometry by a discrete 2D grid of pixels
- Jaggies are a manifestation of sampling error and loss of information (aliasing of
 high frequency components by low frequency ones)


## Jaggies \& Aliasing

- Effect of jaggies can be reduced by anti-aliasing, which smoothes out the pixels around the jaggies by averaging
- Diminishes HVS' response to sharp
 transitions


## Representing lines: Area sampling

- Represent the line as a unit width rectangle, use multiple pixels overlapping the rectangle (for now we think of pixels as squares)

- Instead of full on/off, calculate each pixel intensity proportional to the area covered by the unit rectangle


## "Box Filter" Represents Unweighted Area Sampling

- For each pixel intersecting the line, intensity contributed by each sub-area of intersection $d A$ is $\boldsymbol{W}(x, y) d A$
- For box filer: $\boldsymbol{W}(x, y)=1$
- Then total intensity of the pixel (between 0 and 1) integrated over area of overlap is:


$$
\int_{A} W(x, y) d A
$$

- For box filter: total area of overlap


## Unweighted Area Sampling

- Box filter
- Local support: 1 pixel
- No color unless the pixel overlaps with primitive
- Unweighted integration
> Intensity indifferent of the location of primitive in the pixel
- Creating "winking" artifact when primitive moves across pixel boundaries
(b)




## "Cone Filter" for Weighted Area Sampling

- Area sampling, but the overlap between filter and primitive is weighted so $W(x, y)$ is greater when $(x, y)$ gets closer to pixel center
- Cone has:
- Linear falloff
- Circular symmetry
- Base width of 2
- Intensity of pixel is the "subvolume" inside the cone over the line (see picture)



## Weighted Area Sampling

- Cone filter
- Greater support: 2 pixels
- Greater smoothness in the changes of intensity

(b)




## Weighted Area Sampling

- Pyramid filter
- Support: 1 pixel
- Approximates circular cone to emphasize area of overlap close to center of pixel
(b)



## Sampling of Images

- Scan converting an image is digitizing (sampling) a series of continuous intensity functions, one per scan line
- We will use single scan lines for simplicity, but everything still applies to images


Scan line from synthetic scene
Scan line from natural scene

The Sampling/Reconstruction/Display Pipeline - overview


Original continuous signal:
$u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Sampled signal:
$S: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: n \mapsto u(n)$

Reconstructed signal:
$\bar{S}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
(many reconstruction methods)

The Sampling/Reconstruction/Display Pipeline - overview


Intuitively, the samples we have, the more accurate is our reconstruction.

But how many samples are sufficient?

## Sampling: The Nyquist Limit



Original signal


Samples


Reconstruction

## Sampling: The Nyquist Limit



Original signal


Samples


Reconstruction

## Sampling: The Nyquist Limit

- Sampling frequency must be

2 times more than
the highest frequency in the signal (the Nyquist limit).

## Sampling: The Nyquist Limit

- Sampling right at the Nyquist limit can also be problematic:


Samples 1:


Samples 2:


## Temporal Aliasing: Another Sampling Error

- Ever seen tires spin in a movie? Have you ever noticed that sometimes, they seem to be spinning backwards?



## Temporal Aliasing: Another Sampling Error

- Ever seen tires spin in a movie? Have you ever noticed that sometimes, they seem to be spinning backwards?
- Its because the video frame-rate is lower than twice the frequency at which the wheels spin. This is temporal aliasing!


## The Sampling/Reconstruction/Display Pipeline - overview



Intuitively, the samples we have, the more accurate is our reconstruction.

But how many samples are sufficient?

- $2 x$ highest frequency

But what if the signal frequency is too high and we have a tight budget of samples?

## Example Task: Down-sampling



Original Image


Image with sample points marked


Image scaled using point samples

This doesn't look right at all. There are no stripes and the image now has a blacker average intensity

## Pre-filtering (blurring), then down-sampling



Original Image


Prefiltered image with samples marked


Prefiltered image scaled

Remove the high frequency components, then sample

## Without pre-filtering




1/4

## With pre-filtering



## Low-Pass Filtering to Eliminate High <br> Frequencies <br> (shown for one scan line in Spatial Domain)



## Discrete Convolution -- Review

- Think of an array as a function
- We take two arrays and generate a third
- We "slide" the filter along the other array and at each element, calculate a value by multiplying the pairs and summing the products to do the (weighted) averaging


## Blurring by Convolution with Gaussian

| 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |

## Image

| 30 | 80 | 70 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 40 | 80 | 120 | 200 | 180 | 130 |
| 50 | 50 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 20 | 20 |
| 230 | 200 | 180 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 180 |
| 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 10 | 80 | 80 |
| 160 | 150 | 130 | 180 | 200 | 190 | 150 |
| 30 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 80 | 20 | 10 |

Blurred output

| -62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Blurring by Convolution with Gaussian

Image

| 30 | 080 | 0.0 | 0.05 | 50 | 50 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 601 | 304 | 020 | 200 | 180 | 130 |
| 50 | 0505 | 00 | 0805 | 90 | 20 | 20 |
| 230 | 200 | 180 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 180 |
| 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 10 | 80 | 80 |
| 160 | 150 | 130 | 180 | 200 | 190 | 150 |
| 30 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 80 | 20 | 10 |

Blurred output


## Blurring by Convolution with Gaussian

## Image

| 30 | 80 | 0,05 | 0,1 | 0.5 | 50 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 40 | 30 | 0,4 | 0.0 | 180 | 130 |
| 50 | 50 | 0,70 | 030 | 05 | 20 | 20 |
| 230 | 200 | 180 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 180 |
| 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 10 | 80 | 80 |
| 160 | 150 | 130 | 180 | 200 | 190 | 150 |
| 30 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 80 | 20 | 10 |

Blurred output


## Blurring by Convolution with Gaussian

## Image

| 30 | 80 | 70 | 0.85 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 40 | 80 | 0.29 | 0.4 | 0.80 | 130 |
| 50 | 50 | 70 | 0.85 | 09 | .85 | 20 |
| 230 | 200 | 180 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 180 |
| 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 10 | 80 | 80 |
| 160 | 150 | 130 | 180 | 200 | 190 | 150 |
| 30 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 80 | 20 | 10 |

Blurred output


## Blurring by Convolution with Gaussian

(Values in the output are fake.)

Image

| 30 | 80 | 70 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 40 | 80 | 120 | 200 | 180 | 130 |
| 50 | 50 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 20 | 20 |
| 230 | 200 | 180 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 180 |
| 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 10 | 80 | 80 |
| 160 | 150 | 130 | 180 | 200 | 190 | 150 |
| 30 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 80 | 20 | 10 |

Blurred output

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 62 | 71 | 102 | 98 | 50 |  |
|  | 20 | 10 | 10 | 70 | 0 |  |
|  | 20 | 150 | 10 | 10 | 130 |  |
|  | 10 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 0 |  |
|  | 20 | 50 | 20 | 10 | 40 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Convolution for edge detection



Gradient along X


Convolution with $[-0.5,0,0.5]$

Convolution with
$\left[\begin{array}{c}-0.5 \\ 0 \\ 0.5\end{array}\right]$

