## Material Girls

Making Sense of Feminist Cultural Theory

Suzanna Danuta Walters

#### **N**

## Visual Pressures On Gender and Looking

"You've Got the Look": The Male Gaze

such an important part of the reception of an image, it gender and looking. Since "looking" or "sight" is obviously arisen around issues concerning the relationship between Much of the recent work in feminist cultural analysis has images is constructed by gendered divisions and the social makes sense to examine the ways in which looking at resented as sexual spectacle, as "on display" for men to the new concern with how the representations of relations of patriarchal power. This approach is connected then how does that "work," what are the processes that women function; if it is true that women are so often rep produce woman as sexual spectacle? In response to this (which much of the early feminist critique demonstrated) toward an examination of "the mechanisms of viewing." beyond the question of the sexist context of images and inquiry, feminist cultural criticism has tended to move

One of the first theorists to address directly the com

plex question of looking and its relation to gender was the marxist cultural critic John Berger, who wrote the book and television series titled *Ways of Seeing*. For Berger, patriarchal society entails that a woman be constructed as an object for the "look" of the male spectator, or the male voyeur. Berger focused on how, in our patriarchal culture with its imbalance between male and female power, women are positioned as the passive object of the male look and come to internalize this look:

She has to survey everything she is and everything she does because how she appears to others, and ultimately how she appears to men, is of crucial importance for what is normally thought of as the success of her life. . . . men act and women appear. Men look at women. Women watch themselves being looked at. This determines not only most relations between men and women but also the relation of women to themselves. The surveyor of woman in herself is male: the surveyed female. Thus she turns herself into an object of vision: a sight.<sup>2</sup>

Berger argued that looking—which might be considered a relatively neutral activity—actually carries with it relations of power, access, and control. This power is precisely what determines the "difference" of women: "Women are depicted in a quite different way from men—not because the feminine is different from the masculine—but because the 'ideal' spectator is always assumed to be male and the image of woman is designed to flatter him."<sup>3</sup>

Ways of Seeing remains an important text for feminist cultural theorists, even though its framework is rooted in the work of the marxist cultural theorist and literary critic Walter Benjamin, and is by no means explicitly feminist in its aims. Rather, its uniqueness lies in its creative and determined efforts to break down the categories of

ological and mystifying. sifications and ways of seeing are themselves highly ide-"high art" and "mass culture" and to show how these clas-

sidered "high art" and therefore sacrosanct. Berger pointed advertising was helpful in pushing cultural criticism to see apparent forms of female objectification found in modern out that the depiction of the nude female body in classimale power in all kinds of representations, even those contising. His focus on the classic nude as a precursor to more dered (and classed) way of seeing, as well as popular adver cal painting spoke a great deal about sexual politics: "Her objectification and masculine control of the image not only is also significant that Berger stressed that this process of his sexuality. It has nothing to do with her sexuality."4 It looking at the picture. This picture is made to appeal to body is arranged in the way it is to display it to the man reinforced male "property" rights over women, but also woman as object of male desire, so a woman "comes to produced a female identity that internalized this view of consider the surveyor and the surveyed within her as the two constituent yet always distinct elements of her iden-Berger examined "high art" for its construction of a gen-

ing"—and in developing a historical argument that showed tity as a woman."5 years—Berger paved the way both for feminist theories of how the female body has been objectified throughout the "the gaze" and for the marxist rethinking of popular cul-In introducing the concept of gendered "ways of see-

ture and ideology. of seeing," has been taken up by feminists of several difing a privileged space in the process of constructing "ways ferent intellectual persuasions. Perhaps the most significant, though, is the psychoanalytic inflection offered by This idea of the male as bearer of the look, as occupy-

> voyeurism, and fetishism. As Mary Ann Doane notes Mulvey's work was decisive: ema and in the psychological phenomena of scopophilia, both in the particular processes of classic narrative cinto provide a complex answer that locates the male gaze to psychoanalysis, specifically Lacanian psychoanalysis, petuates the dominance of the "male gaze"? Mulvey turned that insists on this active/passive distinction and that perthis is so; what is it about representation in our culture the theoretical stakes by asking the crucial question of why sive side of a gendered division of looking, Mulvey raised vincingly argued that woman has been placed on the pas-"Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema." If Berger con-Laura Mulvey in her important article for Screen in 1975,

the Oedipus complex, scopophilia, castration, fetishism, every feminist film critic henceforth felt obliged to confront and Narrative Cinema" (1975) provided a paradigm which tivization of sexual difference.6 identification—and the cinematic imaging and narraprecisely because it seemed to demonstrate the "perfect fit" of fantasy and desire and the activator of mechanisms of crucial to the comprehension of the cinema as the realm A theory of the unconscious was perceived as absolutely between the concepts and scenarios of psychoanalysis voyeurism and fetishism. Laura Mulvey's "Visual Pleasure

in Feminist Film Criticism, clearly states the significance verman, writing in the classic collection Re-Vision: Essays endemic to the very structure of image making. Kaja Silwomen was not an "added on" attraction, but rather of stereotypes and claimed that the objectification of resentational process: sight. It moved beyond the notion of male power into the most intimate aspect of the repbeen for feminist cultural studies. It introduced the issue It is hard to overestimate how central this concept has

of the concept of the gaze: "It is by now axiomatic that the female subject is the object rather than the subject of the gaze in mainstream narrative cinema. She is excluded from authoritative vision not only at the level of the enunciation, but at that of the fiction. At the same time she functions as an organizing spectacle, as the lack which structures the symbolic order and sustains the relay of male glances."<sup>7</sup>

out being seen, which is associated with power and conown meanings for what he sees."8 Classic examples of this trol over the image. The eye of the camera is like an eye scenario would be Tony Perkins looking through the hole be going on, the Peeping Tom can always determine his Peeping Toms can always stay in control. Whatever may to a particular object of desire, like a Peeping Tom. And ing sexual pleasure by looking at rather than being close looking through a peephole: "Voyeurism is a way of takfetishism. The voyeur experiences pleasure in seeing with pleasures of looking in Hollywood film: voyeurism and man watches, through the slats of a closet door, a woman the scene in David Lynch's Blue Velvet in which a young in the wall at an undressing Janet Leigh in Psycho, and being raped (Figure 5). Mulvey and others have asserted that there are two main

The fetishistic look has to do with the endowment of some object or body part with sexual meaning. Mulvey relied strongly on Freud's essay on fetishism, suggesting that the erotic image of a woman can trigger the memory of the childhood process whereby the boy observes that the mother does not have a penis, thus producing a sense of horror. The fetishism derives from the disavowal and denial of that "castration"—as Gaylyn Studlar puts it, the boy/man turns an object into a "symbolic replacement of the mother's missing penis." In film, this often takes the form of a sexualization of women's bodies or part of their



Figure 5. An innocent young man takes a furtive peek at the sexual escapades of a subjugated woman in David Lynch's *Blue Velvet*. (De Laurentiis Entertainment Group, 1986; photo courtesy of Museum of Modern Art Film Stills Archive)

bodies, ascribing a phallic connotation to a female body part (legs, breasts) in order to recuperate the woman and rid himself of the threat of otherness generally, and the threat of castration specifically: "Woman as representation signifies castration, inducing voyeuristic or fetishistic mechanisms to circumvent her threat." <sup>10</sup>

This fetishistic look is also clearly part of the representation of women in advertising, to the point where a woman is represented only as a body part: "In ads women are frequently represented in a 'fragmented' way.... Women are signified by their lips, legs, hair, eyes or hands, which stand, metonymically—the it for the whole—for, in this case, the 'sexual' woman, Men, on the other hand, are less often 'dismembered.""

Indeed, the theory of the

male gaze seems to hold particularly well for advertise shown as discrete body parts that are meant to represent ments, in which women's bodies are often fragmented the whole woman. Women are urged to think of their bodconsumerism, thus linking up the powers of looking with mentation is closely related to the marketplace and ing a self-hating relationship with their bodies. Such fragmentation of the female body into parts that should be into a male conception of female perfection. The fragies as "things" that need to be molded, shaped, and remade tiplication of areas of the body accessible to marketing the powers of ownership and consumption: "It is the mul-"improved" or "worked on" often results in women havualized. And being sexualized, they come under the Here, areas not previously seen as sexual have become sexand sexual, capable of stimulation and excitement, capascrutiny of the ideal. New areas constructed as sensitive and products."12 ble of attracting attention, are new areas requiring work

The darkened room of the movie theater sets into motion a set of psychic responses that encourage both a voyeuristic/scopophilic attitude and an ego identification with the characters on the screen. Mulvey argues that woman is created as a spectacle for male desire through the gaze of the camera (seen here as a phallic substitute), the gaze of the men within the narrative, and the gaze of the fetishization of the female body. This position is summarized by Teresa de Lauretis: "The woman is framed by the look of the camera as icon, or object of the gaze: an image made to be looked at by the spectator, whose look is relayed by the look of the male character(s). The latter not only controls the events and narrative action but is 'the bearer' of the look of the spectator." <sup>13</sup>

duced by women. television shows, and other media directed and/or pro argued for a "different way of seeing" embodied in films This issue has been hotly debated, as recent feminists have tography, where male photographers dominate the field would hold true for other media, particularly fashion phoon the camera or editing the rushes is a woman. The same male even when the person looking through the viewfinder male perspectives that the gaze of the filmmaker remains making is so thoroughly male-dominated and governed by course, there are female filmmakers, but, regardless of the to say that the gaze is male refers to a way of seeing which the concept of the male gaze believe that the system of filmpresence of a few women here and there, proponents of the spectator." 15 First, of course, is the filmmaker. Now, of that of the filmmaker, the characters within the film and notes the distinction between "the three different gazes: women, where women function as erotic objects."14 She male whenever it directs itself at, and takes pleasure in takes women as its object. In this broad sense, the gaze is of the concept of male gaze: "In literal terms, the gaze is tors and as characters within works. In figurative terms male when men do the looking. Men look both as spectatant distinction between "literal and metaphorical" usage sion of the male eye. Mary Devereaux makes an imporat women, who become objects of the gaze; second, the camera's original "gaze" comes into play in the very act of and to objectify the woman on the screen; and third, the spectator, in turn, is made to identify with this male gaze filming; the camera here can be understood as an exten-First is the gaze within the representation itself: men gaze There are three "looks" that constitute the male gaze

This idea of the productive gaze as male fits in with much of feminist theory, which describes a female self largely

patriarchal socialization and subject formation—cannot determined by male values and prescriptions. In such a framework, the female director-herself a "victim" of simple mirror to a purported female gaze, because it is vision. As E. Ann Kaplan notes, male looking is never a help but see herself through the eyes of the hegemonic male session which is lacking in the female gaze."16 their gaze carries with it the power of action and of posbacked up by real social power: "Men do not simply look;

production of films constructed through male eyes (literal of the male characters within the film. Not only is the entire process of looking: "It is this sense—that the image of the or otherwise), but also the characters in the films tend to treat women as sexualized objects and to control the raphy, blocking, pacing and so on in order to display her woman in Hollywood film is constructed through scenogalytic critics invoke when they say that the gaze in Hollyfor male erotic contemplation—that feminist, psychoanwood film is masculine."17 The second aspect of the male gaze concerns the gaze

sure in looking has been split between active/male and as object: "In a world ordered by sexual imbalance, pleabe male, but also believes that the voyeuristic male specship, and this has proven to be perhaps Mulvey's most contator is intimately involved in helping to produce woman tentious point. For she not only assumes the spectator to passive/female. The determining male gaze projects its phantasy on to the female figure which is styled accord-The third aspect of the male gaze concerns spectator-

because the spectator is inevitably addressed as male, and tention that there is no space for an authentic female gaze female viewers are forced to look with the male protago-An important aspect of Mulvey's argument is the con-

> must deny her sex. There are no images either for her or fetishism: "The female spectator . . . in buying her ticket, voyeuristic, penetrating and powerful."19 Doane argues of identification with the heterosexual masculine gaze bound up as it is with the processes of voyeurism and that identity itself is unavailable to the female spectator nist; Rosemary Betterton points out that, as a result "woman as spectator is offered the dubious satisfaction

one's own objectification. narcissism or by a kind of masochistic identification with spectating position) seems to be characterized either by and in identification with an image."21 In other words, the terton describes it, a "pleasure in closeness, in reflection addresses the problem of the female spectator in a visua female gaze (for Mulvey not so much a gaze as a passive viewer is condemned to a narcissistic pleasure, or as Betrevel in his fetishistic scopophilia, getting pleasure and conworld constructed for male pleasure. The male viewer may trol from that which he sees from a distance, but the female More by implication than by explicit analysis, Mulvey

male hero and masculinized. 23 Doane turns to the idea of in a passive/masochistic position or identifying with the either identifying with the women characters and placed tor is placed in a position of transvestism, in which she is continually reminds her of the castration which cannot be "masquerade". fetishized away.""22 In this construct, the female spectaassume the position of fetishist. That body which is so close cannot maintain the necessary distance needed to fetishize. that finds women's spectatorship "different" in that women "must find it extremely difficult, if not impossible, to While a man, it seems, is "destined to be a fetishist," woman Doane further develops the psychoanalytic position —a performing of temininity that reveals its

status as construction—to find some space for female resistance in the destabilization of the male look:

Above and beyond a simple adoption of the masculine position in relation to the cinematic sign, the female spectator is given two options: the masochism of over-identification or the narcissism entailed in becoming one's own object of desire, in assuming the image in the most radical way. The effectivity of masquerade lies precisely in its potential to manufacture a distance from the image, to generate a problematic within which the image is manipulable, producible, and readable by the woman.<sup>24</sup>

But, as Doane asks, Why can't we simply reverse this gaze, appropriating the pleasure of looking for ourselves? Because the very reversal reinforces the terms of the binary opposition: "The male striptease, the gigolo—both inevitably signify the mechanism of reversal itself, constituting themselves as aberrations whose acknowledgement simply reinforces the dominant system of aligning sexual difference with a subject/object dichotomy. And an essential attribute of that dominant system is the matching of male subjectivity with the agency of the

When men become the object of woman's gaze, the woman takes on a "masculine" role as bearer of the gaze and initiator of the action, and she nearly always loses her traditionally feminine characteristics (kindness, humaneness, motherliness). <sup>26</sup> She is often cold, driving, ambitious, and manipulative, just like the men; she may be sexy, like and manipulative on the television series *Dynasty*, but she loses her "maternal" qualities. Mulvey reconsiders the concept of the male gaze in relation to female spectators in her essay "Afterthoughts on 'Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema'... Inspired by *Duel in the Sun.*" In this later

writing, Mulvey develops the idea of the "mobile" position of the female spectator, in which the female viewer adopts the "transvestite" position of the masculine hero, thus experiencing (uncomfortably) the power of that position even though she is unable to adopt it fully. We will return to this question of the spectator in chapter 4, as it has proved to be a site of controversy in recent debates.

# Cracks in the Mirror? Implications and Challenges

sic Hollywood film reinforces this message stylistically by tive hero."29 confining the spectator to the point of view of the narra-Hollywood film literally act out the male gaze: "The clasof view becomes crucial here, as Mulvey and others argue order to pose female characters so as to afford the opporthat the narrative structure and mise-en-scène of classic tunity for their erotic contemplation."28 The issue of point action, since action must often be frozen, for example, in ally. Indeed, Mulvey maintains, on screen, women in Holserve as the locus of the male's desire to savor them visulywood film tend to slow down the narrative or arrest the forward; women are the stuff of ocular spectacle, there to are passive; men are active. Men carry the narrative action tacle for male desire. As Noel Carroll points out, "Womer primary culprit in producing images of woman as specstrongly indicted classic Hollywood cinema as being the Feminists working with the theory of the male gaze

In addition, Mulvey stresses the relationship between spectacle and narrative:

In Laura Mulvey's account of visual pleasure in film, the ideal psychic trajectory of the classical cinema involves the interweaving of spectacle and narrative. Within individual

films there are numerous effects of spectacle, the most obvious of which occur in the musical, whether in the way in which the narrative is frequently subservient to performance, or in the overall preoccupation with theatricality and performance. In a more general way, most classical and performance. In a more general way, most classical films create spectacles by defining objects of the look—films create the look of the camera or of protagonists within whether the look of the camera or of what Mulvey calls, the film—so as to stage their quality of what Mulvey calls, referring specifically to the female object of the look—their "to-be-looked-at-ness." <sup>30</sup>

For Mulvey, many aspects of popular filmmaking contribute to the construction of the woman as sexualized spectacle, including the kinds of camera shots (close-ups), costuming, lighting, and make-up.

struction of a feminist avant-garde that destroyed narraare dramatic: a disavowal of narrative cinema and the congarde film, The Riddles of the Sphinx, made with Peter Mulvey herself attempted to produce just such an avantmasochistic and reproductive of male dominance. Indeed, tive pleasure, a pleasure that, in her reading, was both bankrupt for feminists, because "the mass of mainstream evolved, portrays a hermetically sealed world which film, and the conventions within which it has consciously Wollen. She argued strongly that Hollywood films were ing on their voyeuristic phantasy." $^{31}$  If the pleasure of film ence, producing for them a sense of separation and playunwinds magically, indifferent to the presence of the audiwas, for women, always tainted by a male gaze that consure. This stricture, too, has evoked intense debate, both trolled and objectified, then we must reject that very pleamajority of us derive so much pleasure and for its insisfor its absolute rejection of those films from which the vast The implications of Mulvey's version of the male gaze

tence on an avant-garde film practice that only earnest film students seem to enjoy.

switching channels."32 gaze much more problematic. Kaplan questions "how surroundings, making the intensity of the directed male a relatively passive and fixed audience that it is questionby commercials, by people moving about, or by the viewer there is a small screen, and where viewing is interrupted television, when usually there is no darkened room, where well... theories about the 'male gaze' apply to watching sion, where the televised image blurs with the familial able to what extent it applies to a medium such as televisignifying practices that depend on a darkened room and which so clearly present woman as sexual spectacle, it is than film. Although the concept of the gaze has been its psychoanalytic version, the concept is so connected to more difficult to translate to the medium of television. In applied successfully to the analysis of advertisements notion of the male gaze can be generalized to media other Further debate has arisen over the extent to which the

John Ellis, among others, persuasively argues that the "gaze" is an inaccurate concept for television analysis because the viewer is *not* in the voyeuristic position of the cinema viewer; instead, television itself has the "look": "The viewer for TV is very far from being in a position of producing a totalising vision of the truth from the initial stance of curiosity. For broadcast TV, the regime of viewing is rather one of complicity with TV's own look at the passing pageant of life." <sup>33</sup> On the other hand, if one fully adopts the psychoanalytic viewpoint, which locates the origins of the male gaze in timeless infantile experiences, then the particular medium in which these processes are acted out should not alter the basic mechanism. This disjunction

points to a problem with the psychoanalytic approach, which is unable to pay substantive attention to the differences among particular media forms (but more on that later)

The theory of the male gaze seems particularly relevant for representations that hinge so thoroughly on sexualized imagery and spectacle. The new world of music videos is perhaps such a site, for the short format and often nonnarrative style encourage the production of "spectacles," although numerous theorists have argued that MTV's pastiche of ambiguous, nonnarrative images and sounds often provides a greater variety of "gazes" than those in classical cinema: "The question of visual pleasure is more complicated. The objectification of women for the voyeuristic pleasure of male viewers characteristic of film has been replicated in music videos. But at the same time, creation of a female gaze by women artists is one of the most important trends in music video, suggesting that TV may offer women a space for a new investigation of female

Clearly, Berger's original point about women as the "surveyed" of our culture rings true for various media forms, but the more distinctive concept of the male gaze as it has been used by psychoanalytic feminists raises problems, several of which will be discussed in the following chapters. In addition, Berger's development of the male gaze concept, as noted earlier, has a mixed intellectual heritage, being influenced by marxism, and in particular, the work of Walter Benjamin. But this theory has also been used more deliberately by the Mulvey-influenced feminist film critics, who elaborate the specific processes of scopophilia, fetishism, and voyeurism that were developed originally in a therapeutic/analytic context. Mulvey, along with the legions of feminist cultural critics who came before and

after her, is deeply influenced by psychoanalysis and the belief that psychoanalytic concepts (whether strictly Freudian or Lacanian) are particularly appropriate for the analysis of representation. Thus feminist critics are faced with a dual heritage when discussing the male gaze. On the one hand, the term has been used rather generically to describe and analyze the objectification of women in popular culture—the myriad ways women are turned into objects for the pleasure of a male viewer. The theory of the male gaze has broad and commonsense sociological implications regarding the internalization of male standards of beauty and the orientation of women toward male approval and "performance" for male desire. 35

objects for conquest and protection—the root problem such as Susanne Kappeler have used the "look" concept way men see women, is seeing."37 behind the reality of men's relations with women, is the in unequal opportunities and conditions, treating them as to indict representation as the cornerstone of patriarchy: sold—to men and to themselves.<sup>36</sup> And feminist theorists and the tabloid press, Coward stresses the inhibiting and ing, sexual harassment, keeping women in the home and in the world, including sexual assault, rape, wife batter-"The fundamental problem at the root of men's behaviour which turns women into objects to be packaged and debilitating results of male-dominant image making imagery. Traversing advertisements, the fashion industry, are constructed in a commodity culture relies heavily on (Berger-like) ideas of the "look" and male control of visual Rosalind Coward's work on female desires and how they

However flawed by its psychoanalytic baggage and its tunnel vision in relation to spectatorship, the concept of the gaze has stressed the importance of understanding imagery as structured by the context of male dominance:

66

the ability to scrutinize is premised on power. This context of male dominance means that not only do men as a gender have the institutional (political and economic) power to control the actual production of culture and cultural images (that is, the heads of all major networks are male, and with few exceptions the same can be said for the film industry and advertising), but they also have the ideological power to control the form and content of the images themselves. Nevertheless, as we will see in later chapters, the reign of this concept has been seriously challenged in recent years.

W

Positioning Women Gender, Narrative, Genre

### lling Tales

with innumerable others. In other words, the presuppoappropriations of narrative analysis, without reviewing tion that any one narrative will share common structures years this concern with narrative has been central to film tral to the analysis of culture in general, in more recent narrative theory in depth. Although narrative has been cenory has a long history, both within film theory and within "Work on narrative structures . . . is based on the assumpturalism within film studies, as Annette Kuhn indicates: area for the new feminist cultural criticism. Narrative theof classic Hollywood cinema became another important theory and is intimately connected with the rise of struc literary criticism. Here I focus on the specifically feminisi the stories of popular culture. Thus, the textual analysis producing and reproducing the diminution of women in linked to a critique of classic realist narrative as inevitably The theory of the male gaze is, as we have seen, strongly